

Journal of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Animal Sciences Tarım, Gıda, Çevre ve Hayvancılık Bilimleri Dergisi http://www.jafeas.com, ISSN: 2757-5659

# Responses of Soil Exchangeable properties, Growth and Yield of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L) Lam) to the application of N, P, and K fertilizer combination rates at Ikwo, Abakaliki Nigeria

#### Chidinma Susan IROEGBU1\*, Damian Okwudiri ASAWALAM<sup>2</sup>, Emmanuel V. OSODEKE<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu Alike Ikwo, Ebonyi state, NIGERIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil Science and Land Resource Management, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, P.M.B 7267, Abia State, NIGERIA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Soil Science and Land Resource Management, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, P.M.B 7267, Abia State, NIGERIA

<sup>1</sup>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9502-6200, <sup>2</sup>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2776-0889, <sup>3</sup>http://orcid.org/0009-0002-9563-7532

\*Corresponding author: iroegbu.chidinmafunai@gmail.com

Research Art	icle	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received:24 January 2025 Accepted: 09 April 2025 Published online: 01 June 2025 Keywords: OFSP NPK Fertilizer Yield Growth Soil Properties		<b>ABSTRACT</b> This study was carried out on a degraded Ultisol at Teaching and Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu-Alike (AEFUNAI), Abakaliki Ebonyi state during the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons, to assess the responses of soil exchangeable properties, growth and yield of orange-fleshed sweet potato (OFSP) to the application of N, P and K fertilizer rates at Ikwo, Abakaliki Nigeria. The treatments were Nitrogen fertilizer at four levels; 0, 45, 90, and 135 kg/ha; Phosphorus fertilizer at four levels; 0, 15, 30, and 45kg/ha and Potassium fertilizer at four levels; 0, 20, 40, and 60 kg/ha. The experiment was a factorial experiment arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three (3) replications. Growth and yield data were collected on OFSP. Soil samples were collected with a soil auger at a depth of 0 to 20cm at the end of the experiment for soil exchangeable properties determination. All data collected were subjected to ANOVA for a factorial experiment in RCBD at 5% probability level. The results showed that different
		combined rates of NPK fertilizers significantly (P < 0.05) improved soil exchangeable properties and growth and yield parameters of orange-fleshed sweet potato compared to the control in the two years of the experiment. However, the application of N, P and K at the rate of 90kgN-45kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -60kgK <sub>2</sub> O recorded the highest number of OFSP leaves, number of branches, vine length, weight of OFSP storage root and total storage root yield in 2022 and 2023.
To Cite :	Iroegbu CS, Asawala and Yield of Orange fertilizer combinatio	am DO, Osodeke EV., 2025. Responses of Soil Exchangeable properties, Growth -Fleshed Sweet Potato ( <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (L) <i>Lam</i> ) to the application of N, P, and K n rates at Ikwo, Abakaliki Nigeria. Agriculture, Food, Environment and Animal

#### INTRODUCTION

Sweet potato is the 6th most valuable crop in the world (CIP, 2018). With an expected yearly output of 4.45 million metric tons, Nigeria ranks second in Sub-Saharan Africa after Malawi and third globally after China and Uganda (FAOSTA, 2021). Sweet potatoes are vital crops for the survival of Nigeria's resource-poor farmers because of their high yield per unit area and time, which increases their ability to reduce poverty and ensure food security (NRCRI, 2009). Essential nutrients such as vitamins, minerals, proteins, and carbohydrates are found in sweet potatoes (Stathers et al., 2005). 25-30% of its store roots are carbohydrates, 2.5–7.5% is protein, Potassium (K) 200–300 mg 100 g-1, iron (Fe) 0.8 mg 100 g-1, and calcium (Ca) 11 mg 100 g-1 and 20-30 mg 100 g-1 of vitamin C in its dry matter (Çalifikan et al., 2007), along with pro-vitamin A, copper, zinc, manganese, and vitamins B2, B6, and E are also from the orange-fleshed sweet potato storage roots (Neela and Fanta 2019). According to Duvernaya et al. (2013), it can also be utilized as starch, natural coloring, and fermented products such as butanol, lactic acid, ethanol, wine, and acetone. In low-income households, orange-fleshed sweet potatoes (OFSP) are receiving a lot of attention as a way to help with frequent health issues linked to vitamin A deficiency. This is because beta-carotene, a precursor to the synthesis of vitamin A, has a significant nutritional value (Ukpabi et al., 2012). Orange-fleshed sweet potato varieties are high in micronutrients, especially  $\beta$ carotene, which is a precursor to vitamin A, and their consumption helps fight vitamin A deficiency and are also a good source of energy, calcium, iron, vitamins, and some minerals (Low et al., 2009). It also has potent antioxidants that help prevent cancer, along with natural sugar. Stems are utilized as planting material, stored roots are boiled or roasted, and sweet potato leaves are commonly eaten as vegetables (Low et al., 2009).

Orange-fleshed sweet potato production in Nigeria still faces several challenges such as low yield. The average yield of the crop is still in a very low range of 4.0 t/ha compared to the average yield values of 15 to 30 t/ha obtained in other sweet potatoproducing countries of the world such as China (Odebode, 2004). High-yielding orange-fleshed sweet potato varieties are sensitive to fertilizers (Nedunchezhiyan et al., 2010). Applying fertilizer is a crucial option that farmers have for increasing production in the majority of soil types. Due to the sweet potato's potential as a cash crop, commercial growers now need fertilizer recommendations to boost root yield. To prevent adverse ecological effects and unfavorable effects on the sustainability of agricultural systems, fertilization must be done sensibly. Additionally, it is economically wasteful to apply fertilizers excessively. Nitrogen (N) is one of the most essential nutrients for sweet potatoes. It is essential to the development, yield, and quality of storage roots primarily because it affects the production and distribution of dry matter (DM) in plants (Okpara et al., 2009; Ukom et al., 2009). Adequate N supply improves stem development and increases leaf area index, promoting sweet potato plant photosynthesis and carbohydrate and protein synthesis (Okpara et al., 2009; Ukom et al., 2009). Phosphorus (P) is one of the most important soil nutrients after nitrogen, which plants need in large quantities. It is an important element in providing the cellular energy needed for plant biochemical synthesis (Cruz et al., 2016). It is essential for root development and tuber formation (Agri-Farming, 2023). Potassium (K) is also an important nutrient that sweet potatoes require for enzyme activation, carbohydrate formation, and starch conversion, in addition to acting in the transport of photo-assimilates from leaves to organs of storage (Okpara et al., 2009). Thus, K acts positively on storage root size and flavor, improving product quality, and market value (Marschner, 2012) and increasing the carotenoid content of cultivars of orange sweet potato (Okpara et al., 2004).

Soil fertility is one of the main biophysical factors influencing food quantity and quality (Panpatte and Jhala, 2019). According to Bekunda et al. (1997), increased deficiencies of N, P, and other nutrients can be expected as a result of intensive cultivation and unbalanced fertilizer use. Nutrient depletion in soils adversely affects soil quality, reduces crop yield and consequently pose a potential threat to global food security and agricultural sustainability. Restoration of the nutrients can be accomplished by application of fertilizers.

However, some results showed that the application of N, P, and K fertilizers, alone or in combination, can improve soil exchangeable properties, growth and yield of sweet potatoes, but few or no studies have evaluated the responses of soil exchangeable properties, growth and yield of orange-fleshed sweet potatoes (*Ipomoea batatas*). (L) Lam) to the application of N, P, and K fertilizers combinations in Ikwo, Abakaliki Nigeria.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

### **Experimental site**

The field experiments were carried out in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons at the Teaching and Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu-Alike (AEFUNAI), Abakaliki Ebonyi state, located at approximately Latitudes 06° 07' 34" N and 06° 07' 40" N and Longitudes 08° 08' 09" E and 08° 08' 14" E with altitude of 142 m. The climate is mainly humid tropical with a total precipitation of 2168 mm per year, an average annual temperature of about 27 °C, and an annual relative humidity between 60 and 80%. The rainfall regime is bimodal: a long wet season from April to July is interrupted by a brief "August break" followed by another short rainy season from September to October or early November. The dry season lasts from early November to March (AE-FUNAI)

Meteorology station, 2020). The soil of the experimental area is a well-drained sandy soil. These are Ultisol and usually strongly weathered acidic soil with low cation exchange capacity, low base saturation, low organic matter content, and low total nitrogen content (Federal Department of Agriculture and Soil Resources, 1985).

### **Experimental layout**

A total area of land of 2,726m<sup>2</sup> (58m by 47m) was used for the experiment in 2022 and 2023. The field was mechanically cleared, plowed, harrowed, and ridged with a tractor. The ridges were made at 1m intervals in a 3m by 3m plot with a furrow of 0.5 m. The experiment was a factorial experiment laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three (3) replications. Each plot measures 3m by 3m with a furrow of 0.5m separating the plots and 1m separating the replicate from another.

### The experimental treatments

The treatments comprised of Nitrogen fertilizer (Urea with 45% N) applied at four levels namely 0, 45, 90, and 135 kg/ha; Phosphorus fertilizer (Triple Super Phosphate with 20% P) applied at four levels namely 0, 15, 30, and 45kg/ha and Potassium fertilizer (Muriate of Potash with 50% K) applied at four levels namely 0, 20, 40, and 60 kg/ha, which were sourced from the Ebonyi state Fertilizer and Chemical company Abakaliki, Ebonyi state. The treatments were combined to give 64 treatment combinations with three (3) replicates.

# Planting Material and Treatment Application

The experimental test crop is Orange Flesh Sweet Potato (UMUSPO 3) commonly called Mother Delight which was obtained from The National Root Crop Research Institute, Umudike, Abia state. The treatments were applied 4 weeks after planting the Orange Flesh Sweet Potatoes vines. The vine cuttings of 20cm in length were planted at a spacing of 1m by 0.3m along the crest of the ridge, given a total plant population of 40 plants per plot and 33,333 plants per hectare. Supplying was done two weeks after planting (WAP). Weeding was done manually with a hoe at 6 and 10 weeks after planting (WAP). The ridges were earthed up to avoid exposure to the orange flesh sweet potato roots.

# Data Collection

Orange flesh sweet potato growth and data were collected as follows: (a) Vine length was taken at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after treatment application with a measuring tape from the base of the plant vine to the tip of the vine. (b) The number of leaves was taken at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after treatment application by counting (c) The

number of branches was taken at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after treatment application by counting (d) The weight of storage root/plot (kg/plot) at harvest were taken with a scale, and (f) Total storage root yield (t/ha) at harvest were taken with a scale.

### Soil Sample Collection and Analysis

A composite soil sample was collected prior to treatment application for the characterization of the experimental site. Soil samples were collected using a soil auger at a depth of 0 to 20 cm at the end of the experiment for chemical analysis. The soil samples were air-dried at room temperature and sieved through a 2mm sieve. The following soil exchangeable properties were determined: Exchangeable cations such as K+,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ , and Na+ were analyzed according to the method of Summer and Miller (1996); Exchangeable Acidity was determined following the method of McLean (1965); Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) was calculated as follows: Cation Exchange Capacity. CEC = K + Ca + Na + Mg + EA, and Percent Base Saturation (%BS) was computed using this formula: %BS = <u>TEB</u> × 100

### Data Analysis

All collected data were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a factorial experiment in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) using GenStat statistical package 17<sup>th</sup> Edition (GenStat, 2014), and Fisher's Least Significant Different (FLSD) was used to separate the treatment means at 5% probability level.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Physico-Chemical Properties of the Soil Used For the Experiment

Soil Properties	2022	2023
Sand (%)	59.60	60.00
Silt (%)	20.10	20.00
Clay (%)	20.30	20.00
Textural class	Sandy-loam	Sandy loam
Soil pH (water)	5.92	5.97
Soil pH (CaCl <sub>2</sub> )	5.08	5.22
Organic carbon (%)	0.69	0.72
Organic matter (%)	1.18	1.24
Total nitrogen (%)	0.16	0.18
C: N ratio	4.31	4.0
Available phosphorus (mg kg-1)	28.20	28.30
Ca <sup>2+</sup> (cmol <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.65	1.7
Mg <sup>2+</sup> (cmol <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.88	0.92
K+ (cmol-1 kg-1)	0.112	0.124
Na <sup>+</sup> (cmol <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.225	0.251
Total Exchangeable bases (TEB) (cmol <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	2.867	2.995
Exchangeable acidity (cmol <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	2.64	2.38
Effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) (cmol <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.507	5.375
Percentage base saturation (%)	52.06	55.72

Table 1. Some physico-chemical properties of the soil before treatment application

The experimental soil properties showed that the soil is sandy loam, slightly acidic, and poor in organic carbon, nitrogen, available phosphorus, and exchangeable bases as shown in Table 1. This implies that the fertility of the soil is low.

# Growth Responses Of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato (OFSP) To The Application Of N, P, And K Fertilizer Combinations

# Effect of NPK fertilizer on the Number of leaves at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after treatment application (WATA) in 2022 and 2023 planting season

The effect of NPK fertilizer on the number of OFSP leaves at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons is shown in Tables 2, 3, and 4. The various rates of NPK fertilizer applied significantly (P < 0.05) recorded a higher number of OFSP leaves over control at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. The application of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O recorded the highest number of OFSP leaves at 4 WATA (192.3 and 184.3), 8 WATA (292.3 and 315.0) and 12 WATA (374.3 and 388.0) in 2022 and 2023 respectively.

The increased number of OFSP leaves might be a result that N aids in the development of leaf tissues and cell multiplication, P plays a critical role in leaf initiation and K increases photosynthetic activity in OFSP plants. The observed significant positive performance in the number of OFSP leaves with the application of NPK fertilizer could also be a result of the rapid release of essential nutrient elements supplied, which resulted in increased photosynthetic efficiency.

Similar findings were found by Sowley et al. (2015) who stated that fertilizers increase the number of sweet potato leaves with an increased level of fertilization; Nmor and Okobia (2017), who claimed that the amount of available phosphorous, potassium, and nitrogen in the soil determines crop yield and the effectiveness of mineral fertilization; Dennis (2018) reported that NPK blended fertilizer may have affected both the chemical and microbial transformation of soil nutrient elements, enhancing the metabolism activity of the plants and this increased rate of growth; El-Hlamy (2011) reported a significant increase in the number of leaves of OFSP with mineral fertilizer application, and Olatunji and Adeyongu (2021) also noted that a sufficient supply of nutrients to plants boosts vegetative growth and yield.

Also, application of fertilizer beyond the rate of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O showed a reduction in the number of OFSP leaves at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. This might be due to the quantity of fertilizer applied being beyond the optimum level resulting in toxicity in the plant, thereby decreasing OFSP foliage production. This aligned with the report of Havlin et al. (2005) who found that when plant nutrients are applied above the optimum level, the plants absorb more of the nutrients than they require for growth and development. This can lead to toxicity in the plants, which may result in decreased growth or even plant death.

Table 2. Effect of NPK fertilizer on number of leaves at 4 WATA in 2022 and 2	.023
planting seasons	

К		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean
(kg/ha)											
Ν	Р	2022	planting	g season			2023 p	lanting s	eason		
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)										
0	0	15.3	30.3	32.3	37.3	28.8	32.0	43.0	47.0	52.0	43.4
	15	46.3	46.0	65.3	69.0	53.8	55.0	56.0	59.0	63.0	58.2
	30	72.0	74.7	75.7	78.0	72.6	67.0	75.0	79.0	82.0	75.7
	45	82.0	88.0	92.0	96.7	89.6	86.0	89.0	96.0	98.0	92.2
45	0	102.1	105.0	105.3	106.3	104.5	109.5	111.0	115.0	119.0	113.9
	15	105.7	108.0	109.0	111.0	108.4	120.0	123.0	126.0	128.0	124.2
	30	115.7	117.7	116.7	117.0	116.7	129.0	128.0	131.0	132.0	130.0
	45	124.3	124.0	128.0	134.0	127.6	131.0	134.0	135.0	138.0	134.5
90	0	137.0	137.0	147.0	147.0	142.0	141.0	143.0	148.0	149.0	145.2
	15	151.0	166.0	172.0	179.0	164.1	153.0	158.0	163.0	166.0	160.0
	30	178.7	185.3	187.0	189.0	185.0	169.0	173.0	175.0	179.0	174.0
	45	191.3	191.3	191.3	192.3	191.3	181.0	182.0	184.0	184.3	182.7
135	0	148.0	139.7	146.0	169.3	150.8	132.0	136.0	139.0	141.0	137.0
	15	148.0	148.0	152.3	149.0	149.3	145.7	146.3	149.0	152.0	148.2
	30	157.3	152.0	159.7	168.3	159.4	154.0	157.0	159.0	162.0	158.0
	45	150.0	172.3	160.0	173.7	163.9	164.0	167.0	168.0	173.0	168.0
Mean		120.0	124.1	126.6	131.7		123.1	126.7	129.6	132.4	
LSD (0.0	5) for N =	2.715					LSD (0	.05) for N	= 0.2038		
LSD (0.0	5) for P = 2	2.715					LSD (0	.05) for P	= 0.2038		
LSD (0.0	5) for K =	2.715					LSD (0	.05) for K	= 0.2038		
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P	<b>°</b> = 5.431				LSD (0.05) for $N^*P = 0.4076$					
LSD (0.0	5) for N*K	5.431		LSD (0.05) for $N^*K = 0.4076$							
LSD (0.0	5) for P*K	= 5.431					LSD (0	.05) for P	*K = 0.407	6	
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P	K = 10.	87				LSD (0	.05) for N	$^{*}P^{*}K = 0.8$	8160	

K		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean		
(kg/ha)													
Ν	Р	2022 pl	anting se	eason			2023 pla	nting sea	ison				
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)												
0	0	60.3	83.3	88.3	93.7	81.3	68.7	87.0	91.0	97.7	86.0		
	15	97.0	101.0	104.7	109.0	102.9	102.3	104.3	108.3	113.0	107.0		
	30	112.7	119.0	121.3	123.3	119.1	117.0	117.0	123.0	125.0	120.5		
	45	126.7	129.7	133.7	136.0	131.5	132.0	136.0	138.0	148.0	138.5		
45	0	169.8	174.5	179.3	181.7	176.5	189.1	192.0	197.0	199.0	194.5		
	15	189.0	192.0	195.3	201.3	194.4	210.0	218.0	224.0	232.0	221.0		
	30	204.3	209.3	213.7	220.3	211.9	236.0	238.0	242.0	245.0	240.2		
	45	224.3	227.7	233.0	236.0	230.2	248.0	250.0	256.0	257.0	252.7		
90	0	243.7	248.0	251.0	256.7	249.8	259.0	263.0	265.0	278.0	266.2		
	15	260.7	262.0	266.3	268.0	264.2	282.0	285.0	288.0	290.0	286.2		
	30	272.7	276.0	279.0	281.7	277.3	295.0	297.0	302.0	306.0	300.0		
	45	284.7	288.0	289.7	292.3	288.6	309.0	312.0	313.0	315.0	312.2		
135	0	230.3	232.3	237.3	241.0	235.2	238.0	243.0	246.0	247.0	243.5		
	15	248.3	251.3	253.3	257.7	252.7	250.0	251.0	254.0	257.0	253.0		
	30	256.0	266.3	270.3	275.0	266.9	259.0	267.0	272.0	276.0	268.5		
	45	278.7	278.3	281.0	283.3	280.3	282.0	285.0	287.0	290.0	286.0		
Mean		203.7	209.6	212.3	216.1		217.3	222.2	225.4	229.7			
LSD (0.0	5) for N =	3.805					LSD (0.0	)5) for N =	= 1.011				
LSD (0.0	5) for P =	3.805					LSD (0.0	05) for P =	1.011				
LSD (0.05) for $K = 3.805$								05) for K =	= 1.011				
LSD (0.05) for N*P =7.612								LSD (0.05) for N*P = 2.022					
LSD (0.05) for N*K =7.612								LSD (0.05) for N*K = 2.022					
LSD (0.0	5) for P*K	= 7.612					LSD (0.05) for P*K = 2.022						
LSD (0.0	5) for N*F	<b>№</b> K =15.24			LSD (0.05) for $N^*P^*K = 4.044$								

Table 3. Effect of NPK fertilizer on number of leaves at 8 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

К		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean	
(kg/ha)												
Ν	Р	2022	planting	season			2023 p	lanting s	eason			
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)											
0	0	73.7	88.3	93.0	98.3	88.3	86.0	94.0	101.0	104.0	96.2	
	15	104.7	107.7	110.7	114.0	109.2	109.0	113.0	114.0	117.0	113.2	
	30	117.7	124.7	129.3	135.7	126.8	119.0	126.0	137.0	142.0	131.0	
	45	138.7	143.7	148.3	157.3	147.0	148.0	156.0	159.0	168.0	157.7	
45	0	201.0	209.0	214.0	219.7	211.2	225.2	232.0	238.0	242.0	234.5	
	15	225.3	229.7	236.3	241.7	233.2	245.0	248.0	251.0	256.0	250.0	
	30	249.7	254.0	257.7	259.7	255.2	259.0	272.0	278.0	283.0	272.9	
	45	266.7	278.3	282.3	287.7	278.7	287.0	291.0	296.0	299.0	293.2	
90	0	291.7	296.3	313.0	318.3	304.8	304.0	312.0	323.0	327.0	316.5	
	15	321.7	326.3	329.7	331.3	327.2	332.0	336.0	339.0	346.0	338.2	
	30	335.3	342.3	347.7	354.7	345.0	348.0	354.0	358.0	367.0	356.7	
	45	358.3	366.3	370.7	374.3	367.5	371.0	376.0	382.0	388.0	379.2	
135	0	277.7	281.3	288.3	291.7	284.7	306.0	310.0	315.0	322.0	313.2	
	15	296.0	298.0	301.3	303.7	299.7	327.0	328.0	335.0	338.0	332.0	
	30	307.3	310.7	315.7	317.7	312.8	342.0	347.0	352.0	359.0	350.0	
	45	319.7	322.0	326.3	329.7	324.4	363.0	368.0	373.0	379.0	370.7	
Mean		242.8	249.5	254.1	258.5		260.7	267.2	271.9	277.3		
LSD (0.0	5) for N =	0. 0.509	8				LSD (0	.05) for N	I = 0.3061			
LSD (0.0	5) for P =	0.5098					LSD (0	.05) for P	= 0.3061			
LSD (0.0	5) for K =	0.5098					LSD (0	.05) for K	= 0.3061			
LSD (0.0	5) for N*l	P =1.019			LSD (0.05) for $N^*P = 0.6122$							
LSD (0.0	5) for N*l	K =1.019			LSD (0.05) for N*K = 0.6122							
LSD (0.0	5) for P*k	x = 1.019				LSD (0.05) for P*K = 0.6122						
LSD (0.0	5) for N*I	$P^*K = 2.0$	41				LSD (0.05) for $N^*P^*K = 1.2244$					

Table 4. Effect of NPK fertilizer on number of leaves at 12 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

# Effect of NPK fertilizer on the Number of branches at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after treatment application (WATA) in 2022 and 2023 planting season

The effect of NPK fertilizer on the number of OFSP branches at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons is shown in Tables 5, 6, and 7. The various rates of NPK fertilizer applied significantly (P < 0.05) recorded a higher number of OFSP branches over control at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. The application of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O recorded the highest number of OFSP branches at 4 WATA (11.333 and 14), 8 WATA (17.33 and 19) and 12 WATA (19 and 20.33) in 2022 and 2023 respectively.

The numerical growth of OFSP branches could be due to the improved metabolic processes due to the optimal nutrients provided by NPK fertilizers. The increase in the number of branches of OFSP may also be due to the adequate supply of N, P, and K which promotes higher photosynthetic activity, vigorous vegetative growth, and chances of emergence of new vines. The increased number of OFSP branches in

response to the application of NPK fertilizers can be attributed to an increased concentration of nutrients in the soil, which could have increased the uptake of nutrients by the roots, which could have resulted in a greater concentration of the chlorophyll in the leaves, the higher the rate of photosynthesis, a high rate of leaf expansion, increase in the number of leaves, increase in the number of branches and accumulation of dry matter.

Similar results were obtained from Zalalem et al. (2023) who reported an increase in the number of OFSP branches from the higher application of NPSB blended fertilizer and varieties of sweet potato; Getachew (2019) reported a higher photosynthetic activity and vigorous plant growth and development due to the application of adequate NPK fertilizer; Rijal et al. (2023) reported an increase in the number of sweet potato branches with the application of Different Fertilizers in the Eastern Midhill of Nepal; Thomas et al. (2023) observed an increase in the number of branches with 400 kg/ha NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer in Obio Akpa; and Uwah et al. (2013) who reported an increase in the number of OFSP branches with different rates of potassium fertilizer in Calabar, Nigeria.

K		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean				
(kg/ha)															
Ν	Р	2022 pla	anting sea	son			2023 p	lanting	season						
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)														
0	0	2.667	5.000	6.000	6.000	4.905	3.33	5.67	7.00	7.67	5.90				
	15	6.667	6.667	6.667	7.667	6.917	8.33	8.33	8.67	8.67	8.50				
	30	6.667	7.667	7.333	7.333	7.245	9.00	9.33	9.00	9.33	9.16				
	45	7.333	6.667	8.000	7.667	7.420	9.67	9.67	10.00	10.00	9.83				
45	0	7.999	8.000	8.000	8.333	8.090	10.50	11.00	11.33	11.33	11.04				
	15	9.000	9.000	9.000	9.000	9.000	11.67	11.67	11.67	11.67	11.67				
	30	8.667	8.667	9.000	9.000	8.833	11.67	12.00	12.33	12.33	12.08				
	45	9.667	10.000	10.000	10.000	9.915	12.67	12.67	12.67	12.67	12.67				
90	0	10.000	10.333	10.333	11.000	10.415	12.67	12.67	12.67	13.00	12.75				
	15	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	13.00	13.33	13.67	13.67	13.41				
	30	11.333	11.333	11.333	11.333	11.333	13.67	13.67	13.67	13.67	13.67				
	45	11.333	11.333	11.333	11.333	11.333	13.67	13.67	13.67	14.00	13.75				
135	0	10.667	10.000	10.000	10.333	10.253	13.67	12.00	10.67	10.67	11.76				
	15	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.00	11.33	11.67	11.67	11.41				
	30	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.67	11.67	11.67	11.67	11.67				
	45	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.000	11.67	11.67	12.00	12.33	11.92				
Mean		9.125	9.319	9.500	9.500		11.11	11.36	11.40	11.50					
LSD (0.0	5) for N =	0.1788					LSD ((	0.05) for	N = 0.3642	2					
LSD (0.0	5) for P =(	0.1788					LSD ((	0.05) for	P = 0.3642						
LSD (0.05) for K =0.1788								LSD (0.05) for K = 0.3642							
LSD (0.0	5) for N*I	P = 0.3576			LSD (0.05) for N*P = 0.7284										
LSD (0.0	5) for N*ŀ	K = 0.3576		LSD (0.05) for N*K =0.7284											
LSD (0.0	5) for P*K	x = 0.3576	1	LSD (0.05) for P*K = 0.7284											
LSD (0.0	5) for N*I	P*K = 0.71	60				LSD (0.05) for N*P*K = $1.458$								

Table 5. Effect of NPK fertilizer on number of branches at 4 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

Also, the application of fertilizer beyond the rate of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O showed a reduction in the number of OFSP branches at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. This might be due to the quantity of fertilizer applied being beyond the optimum level resulting in toxicity in the plant, thereby decreasing OFSP number of branches. This is consistent with Havlin et al., (2005) who found that when plant nutrients are applied above the optimum level, the plants absorb more of the nutrients than they require for growth and development. This can lead to toxicity in the plants, which may result in decreased growth or even plant death.

K		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean
(kg/ha)											
Ν	Р	2022	planting	season			2023 p	lanting s	eason		
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)										
0	0	4.33	8.67	8.67	8.67	7.56	5.00	9.00	9.00	10.00	8.23
	15	8.67	8.67	9.33	9.67	9.08	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
	30	9.67	10.33	10.33	10.33	10.16	10.00	12.00	12.00	13.00	11.74
	45	10.33	10.67	10.67	10.67	10.58	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00
45	0	12.02	12.00	12.33	12.33	12.19	13.00	13.00	13.00	14.00	13.27
	15	12.33	13.00	13.00	13.00	12.83	14.00	14.00	14.00	15.00	14.25
	30	13.00	13.67	13.67	14.00	13.58	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
	45	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	15.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	15.74
90	0	14.00	14.67	15.33	14.67	14.66	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00
	15	14.67	15.33	15.67	16.33	15.50	16.00	16.00	16.00	17.00	16.25
	30	17.00	16.67	17.00	17.00	17.08	17.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	17.74
	45	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.33	17.08	18.00	18.00	18.00	19.00	18.25
135	0	13.67	13.67	14.00	14.67	14.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
	15	14.67	14.67	14.67	14.33	14.58	16.00	16.00	16.00	17.00	16.25
	30	14.33	14.67	15.00	15.00	14.75	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00
	45	14.33	14.33	15.00	15.00	14.67	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00
Mean		12.10	13.00	13.89	14.08		14.19	14.79	14.75	15.12	
LSD (0.05	) for N =0	.2901					LSD (0	.05) for N	J = 0.3102	2	
LSD (0.05	) for P =0.	2901					LSD (0	.05) for F	<b>e</b> = 0.3102		
LSD (0.05	) for K =0.	2901					LSD (0	.05) for k	x = 0.3102		
LSD (0.05	) for N*P	= 0.5801			LSD (0.05) for N*P = $0.6204$						
LSD (0.05	) for N*K	= 0.5801			LSD (0.05) for N*K = 0.6204						
LSD (0.05	) for P*K =	= 0.5801					LSD (0	.05) for F	*K = 0.62	.04	
LSD (0.05	) for N*P*	K =1.161			LSD (0.05) for $N^*P^*K = 1.2408$						

Table 6. Effect of NPK fertilizer on number of branches at 8 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

К		0	20	40	60	Mea	0	20	40	60	Mean
(kg/ha)						n					
Ν	Р	2022 p	lanting s	eason			2023 p	lanting s	season		
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)										
0	0	6.33	12.00	12.00	12.33	10.64	6.33	12.00	12.00	12.33	10.64
	15	12.67	13.00	12.33	13.33	12.83	14.33	14.33	14.33	14.67	14.42
	30	13.33	14.00	14.33	14.33	14.00	14.67	14.67	15.00	15.67	15.00
	45	14.33	14.33	14.33	14.33	14.33	15.67	15.67	15.67	15.67	15.67
45	0	14.29	15.00	15.00	15.00	14.80	15.56	16.00	16.33	16.33	16.00
	15	16.00	16.33	16.33	17.00	16.67	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.67	17.17
	30	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.67	17.67	17.67	18.33	17.83
	45	17.33	17.33	17.33	17.33	18.67	18.67	18.67	18.67	18.67	
90	0	17.67	17.67	17.67	17.67	17.67	19.00	19.00	19.00	19.00	19.00
	15	17.67	17.67	18.67	18.67	18.17	19.00	19.67	20.00	20.00	19.66
	30	18.87	18.67	18.67	18.67	18.75	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
	45	18.67	18.67	18.67	19.00	18.67	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.33	20.08
135	0	15.67	15.67	16.00	15.67	15.75	17.00	17.00	17.33	17.00	17.08
	15	16.00	16.00	16.33	16.33	16.17	17.33	16.67	17.00	17.00	17.00
	30	16.33	16.67	16.67	17.33	16.75	17.00	17.33	18.00	18.00	17.58
	45	17.33	17.67	17.00	17.33	17.33	18.00	18.33	18.00	18.00	18.08
Mean		15.64	16.15	16.15	16.31		16.70	17.21	17.21	17.20	
LSD (0.05	5) for N =	0.2186					LSD (0	.05) for l	N = 0.2244		
LSD (0.05	5) for $P = 0$	0.2186					LSD (0	.05) for I	P = 0.2244		
LSD (0.05	5) for K =	0.2186					LSD (0	.05) for l	K = 0.2244		
LSD (0.05	5) for N*P	=0.4372			LSD (0.05) for $N*P = 0.4488$						
LSD (0.03	5) for N*K	=0.4372		LSD (0.05) for N*K = 0.4488							
LSD (0.05	5) for P*K	= 0.4372			LSD (0.05) for P*K = 0.4488						
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P	*K = 0.875	52				LSD (0	.05) for I	N*P*K = 0.8	3983	

Table 7. Effect of NPK fertilizer on number of branches at 12 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

# Effect of NPK fertilizer on vine length at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after treatment application (WATA) in 2022 and 2023 planting season

The effect of NPK fertilizer on the vine length of OFSP at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons is shown in Tables 8, 9, and 10. The various combined rates of NPK fertilizer applied significantly (P < 0.05) recorded higher vine length of OFSP over control in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. The application of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O recorded the highest vine length of OFSP at 4 WATA (128.7cm and 126.7cm), 8 WATA (319.3cm and 337.7cm) and 12 WATA (457.0cm and 444.7cm) in 2022 and 2023 respectively.

The increase in the vine length of the OFSP could be due to an adequate supply of N, P, and K from the applied fertilizers, which resulted in high photosynthetic activity and vigorous vegetative growth, thus increasing the length of the internode. In addition, the greater vine length observed may be due to the application of adequate amounts of N, P, and K, which resulted in better vegetative growth, greater photosynthetic assimilation, photosynthetic activation, and metabolic

processes of organic compounds in plants for the production of increasing the length of the vine. The increase in the duration of the pine vines may be due to the increase in cell division and elongation, which resulted in greater canopy development and a better utilization of NPK fertilizers applied.

Similar findings were reported by Bekele et al. (2020), who found that the application of chemical fertilizer types and rates on Ethiopian potato yield and quality resulted in improved plant cellular growth and development; Singh and Rangav (2020) who reported a notable increase in plant height due to increased NPK fertilizer; Thomaset al., (2023) observed an increase in OFSP vine length with 400 kg/ha NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer in Obio Akpa; and Rijal et al. (2023) that reported increased vine length of sweet potato due to the fast release of nutrients by the applied NPK fertilizers in the Eastern Midhill of Nepal.

К		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean			
(kg/ha)														
Ν	Р	2022 pl	anting s	eason			2023 p	lanting s	eason					
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)													
0	0	24.0	37.3	41.3	53.3	38.9	67.0	74.7	79.3	82.7	75.9			
	15	52.0	55.3	57.3	60.3	56.2	82.7	86.7	88.7	88.7	86.6			
	30	61.3	66.3	64.7	72.0	66.1	91.7	93.7	95.7	99.7	95.2			
	45	71.3	71.7	77.3	84.7	76.2	101.7	106.0	106.7	110.7	106.2			
45	0	101.1	104.0	105.0	107.0	104.3	121.9	125.0	126.7	128.7	125.6			
	15	107.0	106.7	104.0	102.7	105.1	130.7	134.7	135.0	139.7	135.0			
	30	106.3	111.7	117.3	115.3	112.6	142.3	148.0	153.0	158.0	150.3			
	45	117.3	118.7	117.3	120.7	118.5	162.3	164.3	168.0	169.3	166.0			
90	0	123.3	124.0	125.0	125.7	124.5	173.3	174.7	175.7	179.3	175.7			
	15	125.0	125.7	126.7	127.0	126.1	182.3	183.7	188.0	193.0	186.7			
	30	125.7	126.0	126.0	127.3	126.2	197.3	199.0	203.3	206.3	201.5			
	45	126.3	127.0	128.0	128.7	127.5	208.0	210.7	213.7	216.7	212.2			
135	0	126.3	124.0	125.7	124.7	125.2	172.0	174.7	176.7	179.7	175.7			
	15	124.3	127.0	125.0	124.0	125.1	183.3	184.0	187.3	189.0	185.9			
	30	126.3	126.3	125.3	126.0	126.0	191.7	194.7	197.7	200.0	196.0			
	45	126.7	126.0	126.3	126.7	126.4	202.7	172.7	208.3	212.0	199.1			
Mean		102.8	104.9	105.8	107.9		150.7	152.3	156.5	159.6				
LSD (0.05	) for N = 0.	7268					LSD ((	).05) for N	J = 3.047					
LSD (0.05	) for P = 0.7	7268					LSD ((	).05) for P	9 = 3.047					
LSD (0.05) for $K = 0.7268$								).05) for K	C = 3.047					
LSD (0.05) for N*P =1.453								LSD (0.05) for $N*P = 6.094$						
LSD (0.05) for N*K =1.453								LSD (0.05) for N*K = 6.094						
LSD (0.05	) for P*K =	1.453					LSD (0.05) for P*K = 6.094							
LSD (0.05) for N*P*K =2.910								LSD (0.05) for N*P*K = 12.20						

Table 8: Effect of NPK fertilizer on vine length (cm) at 4 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

Also, application of fertilizer beyond the rate of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O showed a reduction in the vine length of OFSP at 4, 8, and 12 WATA in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. This might be due to the quantity of fertilizer applied being beyond the optimum level resulting in toxicity in the plant, thereby affecting the OFSP vine length. This is consistent with Havlin *et* al. (2005) who found that when plant nutrients are applied above the optimum level, the plants absorb more of the nutrients than they require for growth and development. This can lead to toxicity in the plants, which may result in decreased growth or even plant death.

K		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean		
(kg/ha)													
Ν	Р	2022 pl	anting se	eason			2023 pla	inting sea	son				
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)												
0	0	68.3	108.7	122.7	126.3	106.3	99.3	144.7	148.0	151.3	135.6		
	15	131.7	137.3	139.7	145.7	138.6	160.7	165.0	170.3	174.3	167.6		
	30	148.7	150.7	154.3	157.7	152.8	178.3	181.0	183.3	187.3	182.5		
	45	163.3	173.3	177.7	182.0	174.0	191.3	194.7	199.0	202.0	196.7		
45	0	196.3	201.0	203.7	210.0	202.9	219.1	230.0	225.7	230.0	225.8		
	15	214.0	217.3	218.3	223.3	218.2	236.0	241.3	244.3	249.0	242.6		
	30	224.7	230.7	239.0	244.0	234.6	252.3	256.3	259.0	262.3	257.5		
	45	250.7	253.3	256.7	261.0	255.4	266.7	270.0	273.3	280.3	272.6		
90	0	262.7	269.3	274.7	281.3	272.0	284.7	288.0	291.3	295.0	289.7		
	15	284.0	286.0	291.7	293.3	288.7	299.0	302.3	307.0	310.7	304.7		
	30	294.3	295.7	297.3	299.3	296.7	315.0	319.0	454.7	324.7	353.3		
	45	305.7	310.7	316.7	319.3	313.1	329.7	332.0	334.7	337.7	333.5		
135	0	263.3	268.0	268.0	270.0	267.3	287.3	289.3	289.3	292.3	289.6		
	15	276.7	277.3	284.0	288.0	281.5	295.7	296.3	300.0	302.0	298.5		
	30	292.0	296.0	297.0	299.0	311.2	304.7	307.7	310.3	316.0	309.7		
	45	304.0	310.0	314.0	317.0	296.0	315.0	316.7	320.7	323.7	319.0		
Mean		230.0	237.3	241.0	244.8		252.2	259.0	269.4	264.9			
LSD (0.05	5) for N = 0	.6505					LSD (0.0	)5) for N =	= 6.098				
LSD (0.05	5) for $P = 0$ .	6505					LSD (0.0	)5) for P =	6.098				
LSD (0.05) for $K = 0.6505$							LSD (0.0	)5) for K =	6.098				
LSD (0.05	5) for N*P =		LSD (0.05) for N*P = 12.19										
LSD (0.05		LSD (0.05) for N*K = 12.19											
LSD (0.05) for P*K = 1.301							LSD (0.05) for P*K = 12.19						
LSD (0.05) for $N^*P^*K = 2.605$								LSD (0.05) for N*P*K = 24.42					

Table 9. Effect of NPK fertilizer on vine length at 8 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

K	9	0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean
(kg/ha)											
N	Р	2022	2 planting	season			2023 p	lanting so	eason		
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)						_	_			
0	0	157.0	209.0	212.6	217.3	198.6	188.0	245.0	250.3	255.3	234.4
	15	222.6	226.6	230.3	234.6	228.5	259.3	262.3	266.3	269.3	264.3
	30	238.3	242.6	248.3	253.6	245.7	271.3	273.7	276.3	278.3	274.9
	45	256.6	260.6	265.6	267.6	262.6	279.0	279.3	280.0	282.0	280.1
45	0	280.3	297.0	295.3	302.3	293.3	301.9	317.0	309.0	313.7	309.7
	15	306.3	316.0	323.0	328.0	318.2	316.7	321.7	331.7	331.7	325.4
	30	335.0	339.6	344.3	349.3	342.0	341.0	344.3	350.3	358.0	348.4
	45	353.3	358.0	361.0	363.6	358.9	360.7	363.7	370.7	373.0	367.0
90	0	367.6	371.3	376.6	381.6	374.3	379.7	384.7	388.7	357.3	377.6
	15	385.6	390.3	393.6	397.0	391.6	393.7	395.7	400.7	404.7	398.7
	30	402.6	406.3	415.6	433.0	414.3	408.7	413.7	418.7	427.7	417.1
	45	438.0	444.3	452.3	457.0	447.8	435.7	438.7	441.7	444.7	440.2
135	0	360.6	363.3	369.0	367.0	365.0	377.7	379.7	382.7	385.7	381.4
	15	377.3	377.0	374.6	382.6	377.9	389.7	390.7	393.7	394.7	392.2
	30	385.3	392.6	397.3	390.3	391.4	398.7	402.7	405.0	409.7	404.0
	45	406.0	434.0	443.0	456.0	432.6	412.3	416.7	423.0	428.0	420.0
Mean		329.1	339.7	343.4	346.2		344.6	352.6	355.5	357.1	
LSD (0.0	5) for N = 3	3.385					LSD (0	.05) for N	[ = 4.429		
LSD (0.0	5) for P = 3	3.385					LSD (0	.05) for P	= 4.429		
LSD (0.05) for K = 3.385 LSD (0.05) for K = 4.429											
LSD (0.05) for N*P =6.771 LSD (0.05) for N*P = 8.857									•		
LSD (0.0	5) for N*K	=6.771					LSD (0	.05) for N	[*K = 8.857	7	
LSD (0.0	5) for P*K	= 6.771					LSD (0	.05) for P	*K = 8.857		
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P	*K =13.57	7				LSD (0	.05) for N	[*P*K = 17	.73	

Table 10. Effect of NPK fertilizer on vine length at 12 WATA in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

# Ield Responses of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato to the Application of N, P, And K Fertilizer Combinations

### Effect of NPK fertilizer on the weight of storage root (kg/plot) of Orange Flesh Sweet Potato in 2022 and 2023 planting season

Table 11 shows the effect of NPK fertilizer on the weight of OFSP storage roots in the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. The various rates of NPK fertilizer applied significantly (P < 0.05) recorded higher weight of OFSP storage roots over control in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. The application of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O recorded the highest weight of OFSP storage root in 2022 (3.07kg) and (3.5kg) in 2023. This might be because the applied N enhanced the photosynthetic activity and prolonged the vegetative growth of the OFSP plant, P aids in cell division and promotes root development of OFSP while K helps in the transfer of photo-assimilates, activates enzymes involved in photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism and aids in the movement of carbohydrates from leaves to the root, resulting in increased weight of OFSP storage root. It could also be due to the direct access of N, P, and K nutrient elements from the applied fertilizer by OFSP plants. Adequate N supply improves shoot development, favoring the photosynthetic activity of sweet potato plants and carbohydrate synthesis; P facilitates cell division and encourages root development; and K stimulates the formation, transformation, and movement of photoassimilates from leaves to roots, thus resulting in increased weight of OFSP root.

Similar findings were reported by Israel et al. (2012), who found that the interaction of N, P, and K significantly influenced the root weight of potatoes positively; Singh and Rangav (2020), who reported a significant increase in potato yield due to increased NPK fertilizer; and Bekele et al. (2020), who reported enhanced plant cellular growth and development with the application of chemical fertilizer types and rates on potato yield and quality in Ethiopia.

К		0	20	40	60	Mea	0	20	40	60	Mean	
(kg/ha)						n						
Ν	Р	2022 p	lanting se	ason			2023 planting season					
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)											
0	0	0.867	1.333	1.600	1.700	1.373	1.000	1.600	1.800	2.000	1.597	
	15	1.900	1.950	1.950	1.950	1.937	2.500	2.550	2.550	2.550	2.537	
	30	1.980	1.987	2.033	2.067	2.017	2.600	2.600	2.700	2.700	2.650	
	45	2.067	2.083	2.097	2.097	2.086	2.700	2.750	2.750	2.750	2.737	
45	0	2.135	1.800	2.133	2.133	2.074	2.750	2.750	2.800	2.800	2.777	
	15	2.167	2.167	2.183	2.217	2.183	2.800	2.800	2.850	2.850	2.825	
	30	2.217	2.217	2.217	2.217	2.217	2.850	2.850	2.850	2.850	2.850	
	45	2.233	2.300	2.300	2.300	2.283	2.900	2.900	2.900	2.900	2.900	
90	0	2.433	2.467	2.467	2.533	2.475	2.900	3.000	3.000	3.000	2.974	
	15	2.567	2.700	2.733	2.733	2.683	3.100	3.100	3.200	3.200	3.150	
	30	2.867	2.867	2.907	2.917	2.894	3.200	3.220	3.240	3.250	3.227	
	45	2.967	3.067	3.067	3.070	3.041	3.300	3.350	3.350	3.500	3.449	
135	0	2.433	2.533	2.533	2.533	2.508	3.100	3.200	3.200	3.200	3.174	
	15	2.533	2.533	2.633	2.633	2.583	3.200	3.200	3.300	3.300	3.250	
	30	2.663	2.683	2.767	2.817	2.732	3.330	3.350	3.400	3.450	3.382	
	45	2.817	2.833	2.867	2.867	2.846	3.450	3.500	3.500	3.500	3.487	
Mean		2.303	2.358	2.405	2.424		2.855	2.933	2.971	2.987		
LSD (0.0	5) for N = (	).04719					LSD (0.	05) for N	I = 0.05230	)		
LSD (0.0	5) for P = 0	.04719					LSD $(0.05)$ for P = 0.05230					
LSD (0.0	5) for K = 0	.04719		LSD (0.05) for K = 0.05230								
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P	= 0.09436		LSD (0.05) for N*P = 0.1460								
LSD (0.0	5) for N*K	= 0.09436	, ,	LSD (0.05) for N*K = 0.1460								
LSD (0.0	5) for P*K =	= 0.09436					LSD (0.05) for P*K = 0.1460					
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P*	K = 0.188	39	LSD (0.05) for N*P*K = 0.2920								

Table 11. Effect of NPK fertilizer on weight of storage root (kg/plot) of Orange Flesh Sweet Potato in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

The weight of OFSP roots decreased in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons when fertilizer was applied at rates higher than 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O. This could be as a result of the high N fertilizer treatments inhibiting the storage root development. Similar results were reported by Fernandes and Ribeiro (2020), Ukom *et al.*, (2009), and Ambecha (2011) who reported that excess nitrogen can stimulate increased foliage production at the expense of tubers and may also lead to tuber cracking. This might also be due to the quantity of fertilizer applied being beyond the optimum level resulting in toxicity to the plant. This aligned with the findings of Havlin *et al.*, (2005) who found that when plant nutrients are applied above the optimum level, the plants absorb more of the nutrients than they require for growth and development. This can lead to toxicity in the plants, which may result in decreased growth or even plant death.

# Effect of NPK fertilizer on the Total Storage Root Yield (t/ha) of Orange Flesh Sweet Potato in the 2022 and 2023 planting season

Table 12 shows the effect of NPK fertilizer on OFSP total storage root yield in the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. The various rates of NPK fertilizer applied significantly (P < 0.05) recorded higher weight of OFSP storage roots over control in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. The application of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O recorded the highest OFSP total storage root yield in 2022 (3.255t/ha) and (3.88t/ha) in 2023.

This increase in yield may be due to the photosynthetic capacity of the leaf canopy and the ability of the plant to move the photo-assimilates from the leaf to the root. Therefore, it is possible that the assimilation of photosynthesis moved to the roots contributes to the high yields of the treatment of mineral fertilizers. An adequate supply of N improves shoot development and promotes sweet potato plant photosynthesis and carbohydrate synthesis, P facilitates cell division and promotes root development, while K stimulates photosynthesis and synthesis of high molecular weight substances in storage organs that may contribute to the rapid growth of swollen roots, resulting in root growth. The increase in the yield of sweet potato with cabbage leaves can be due to the readily available macronutrients that lead to the synthesis of more photosimilates, which are used in the accumulation of dry matter in the roots of sweet potato with cabbage leaves with the application of N., P and K. fertilizers.

Similar results were reported by Israel et al. (2012) who stated that the interaction of N, P, and K had a positive and significant impact on potato root yield; Bekele et al. (2020) who reported an increased growth and development of plant cells with the application of types and rates of chemical fertilizers on the yield and quality of potato in Ethiopia; Singh and Rangav (2020) who reported a significant increase in potato yield due to increased NPK fertilizers; and Asa et al (2021) reported that the application of NPK fertilizers has resulted in balanced management of nutrients that lead to an increase in the amount of sweet potato root yield.

In addition, the application of fertilizers beyond the norm of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O showed a reduction in the total yield of sweet potatoes during the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. This could be a result of the high N fertilizer treatments inhibiting the storage root development. Similar results were reported by Fernandes and Ribeiro (2020), Ukom et al. (2009), and Ambecha (2011) who reported that excess nitrogen can stimulate increased foliage production at the expense of tubers and may also lead to tuber cracking. This might also be due to the quantity of fertilizer applied being beyond the optimum level resulting in toxicity to the plant. This aligned with the findings of Havlin et al. (2005) who found that when plant nutrients are applied exceeds the optimum level, the plants absorb more of the nutrients than they require for growth and development. This can lead to toxicity in the plants, which may result in decreased growth or even plant death.

К		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean		
(kg/ha)													
Ν	Р	2022 p	lanting s	eason			2023 planting season						
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)												
0	0	0.880	1.330	1.660	1.720	1.395	1.110	1.770	2.000	2.220	1.772		
	15	1.770	1.830	1.830	1.830	1.815	2.770	2.830	2.830	2.830	2.815		
	30	1.850	1.860	1.880	1.940	1.882	2.880	2.880	3.000	3.000	2.940		
	45	1.940	1.940	1.960	1.960	1.950	3.000	3.050	3.050	3.050	3.037		
45	0	1.990	1.990	1.990	1.990	1.990	3.060	3.060	3.110	3.110	3.087		
	15	2.050	2.050	2.050	2.110	2.065	3.110	3.110	3.160	3.160	3.135		
	30	2.110	2.110	2.110	2.110	2.110	3.160	3.160	3.160	3.160	3.160		
	45	2.110	2.220	2.220	2.220	2.192	3.220	3.220	3.220	3.220	3.220		
90	0	2.440	2.440	2.440	2.550	2.468	3.220	3.330	3.330	3.330	3.302		
	15	2.550	2.770	2.900	3.000	2.804	3.440	3.440	3.550	3.550	3.495		
	30	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.200	3.050	3.550	3.570	3.590	3.610	3.580		
	45	3.200	3.250	3.250	3.250	3.237	3.660	3.880	3.880	3.880	3.824		
135	0	2.200	2.200	2.200	2.200	2.200	3.440	3.550	3.550	3.550	3.522		
	15	2.333	2.367	2.500	2.500	2.425	3.550	3.550	3.660	3.660	3.605		
	30	2.500	2.550	2.600	2.600	2.562	3.700	3.720	3.770	3.830	3.755		
	45	2.700	2.800	3.000	3.000	2.874	3.830	3.880	3.880	3.880	3.867		
Mean		2.226	2.301	2.349	2.386		3.169	3.254	3.296	3.315			
LSD (0.0	5) for N = (	0.004158					LSD (0	.05) for N	[= 0.0044				
LSD (0.0	5) for P = 0	0.004158					LSD (0	.05) for P	= 0.0044				
LSD (0.0	5) for K = (	0.004158					LSD $(0.05)$ for K = 0.0044						
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P	= 0.0083	16				LSD (0.05) for $N*P = 0.0088$						
LSD (0.0	5) for N*K	= 0.0083	16				LSD (0.05) for N*K = 0.0088						
LSD (0.0	5) for P*K	= 0.00832	16				LSD (0	.05) for P	*K = 0.0088	3			
LSD (0.0	5) for N*P*	<sup>+</sup> K = 0.01	665				LSD (0.05) for N*P*K = $0.0176$						

Table 12. Effect of NPK fertilizer on the Total Storage Root Yield (t/ha) of Orange Flesh Sweet Potato in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

### Responses of Soil Exchangeable Properties to The Application of N, P, And K Fertilizer Combinations

# Effect of N, P, and K fertilizer combinations on Soil Exchangeable Acidity, Total Exchangeable Bases, Effective Cation Exchange Capacity, and % Base Saturation

The result of the study on the effect of N, P, and K fertilizer rates on soil exchangeable acidity, total exchangeable bases, effective cation exchange capacity and % base saturation are presented in Tables 13, 14, 15, and 16. These results show a decrease in soil exchangeable acidity and an increase in total exchangeable bases, effective cation exchange capacity, and percentage base saturation in treated plots compared to control plots during both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons. Specifically, soil exchangeable acidity was significantly (P<0.05) reduced, while total exchangeable bases, effective cation exchange able acidity was significantly (P<0.05) reduced, while total exchangeable bases.

saturation increased significantly (P<0.05) in the plots treated with various rates of N, P, and K fertilizers relative to the control.

In 2022, the soil exchangeable acidity in treated plots was lower (1.36 cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) than in 2023 (1.48 cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) with the application of 20 kg/ha K<sub>2</sub>O. The highest total exchangeable base (TEB) values were obtained from applying 135kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O in 2022 resulted 7.124 cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> while the application of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-0kgK<sub>2</sub>O recorded 7.169 cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2023. The highest effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) values were also achieved with the application of 135kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O yielding 9.711 cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2022 and 9.949 cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2023. Additionally, the highest percentage base saturation (%BS) values were found with the application of 90kgN-15kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O in 2022 at 73.97% and 73.34% in 2023.

The reduction in soil exchangeable acidity is likely attributed to potentiality of Potassium fertilizer to increase and saturate the soil with bases, thus helping to lower soil acidity. Additionally, high soil organic matter content from plant residues contributes to this effect. The increase in soil exchangeable properties may result from enhanced soil organic matter (SOM) due to increased microbial activity and the accumulation of organic materials such as decaying roots, litter, and crop residues along with the applied fertilizers.

Similar findings were reported by Simiyu (2018) and Omenda et al. (2021), who noted decreased soil exchangeable acidity following chemical fertilizer application in Kenya and the acidic soils of Kakamega in Western Kenya, respectively. Additionally, Ubi et al. (2013) and Onwumere (2023) found increases in TEB and %BS due to rising levels of soil organic matter with higher NPK fertilizer application rates in the coastal plain sands of Akpabuyo, Cross River State, and Ikwo, Nigeria, respectively. Furthermore, Phares et al. (2022) reported an improvement in ECEC following the application of 90:60:60 kg NPK per hectare in Kade, Ghana.

K (kg/ha)		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean		
Ν	Р		2022 pla	nting sea	son			2023 plan	ting sease	on			
(kg/ha)	(kg	/ha)											
0	0	2.680	1.360	1.360	1.380	1.345	2.840	1.400	1.440	1.480	1.424		
	15	1.400	1.440	1.440	1.440	1.567	1.460	1.480	1.520	1.520	1.500		
	30	1.480	1.480	1.480	1.520	1.865	1.520	1.520	1.540	1.540	1.530		
	45	1.520	1.520	1.520	1.540	2.250	1.560	1.560	1.600	1.600	1.580		
45	0	1.545	1.560	1.560	1.600	1.430	1.610	1.640	1.680	1.680	1.653		
	15	1.600	1.600	1.640	1.680	1.630	1.680	1.700	1.720	1.720	1.705		
	30	1.680	1.680	1.700	1.720	1.925	1.760	1.800	1.840	1.840	1.810		
	45	1.720	1.760	1.800	1.840	2.435	1.840	1.880	1.900	1.900	1.880		
90	0	1.840	1.840	1.880	1.900	1.490	1.920	1.920	1.960	1.960	1.940		
	15	1.900	1.920	1.920	1.960	1.695	2.000	2.060	2.060	2.100	2.055		
	30	1.960	2.000	2.060	2.060	2.020	2.100	2.100	2.100	2.200	2.125		
	45	2.100	2.100	2.100	2.100	2.510	2.200	2.300	2.300	2.400	2.299		
135	0	2.200	2.200	2.300	2.300	1.525	2.400	2.460	2.480	2.500	2.460		
	15	2.400	2.400	2.460	2.480	1.780	2.507	2.513	2.520	2.560	2.525		
	30	2.500	2.500	2.520	2.520	2.100	2.560	2.590	2.620	2.650	2.605		
	45	2.560	2.560	2.600	2.600	2.580	2.690	2.720	2.760	2.780	2.737		
Mean		1.855	1.877	1.896	1.915		1.948	1.987	2.003	2.027			
LSD (0.05	) for	N = 0.00	06213				LSD (0.05) for N = 0.001495						
LSD (0.05	) for	P = 0.000	6213				LSD (0.05) for $P = 0.001495$						
LSD (0.05	) for	K = 0.000	06213				LSD (0.05) for $K = 0.001495$						
LSD (0.05) for N*P = $0.001242$								LSD (0.05) for N*P = $0.00299$					
LSD (0.05) for N*K = $0.001242$								LSD (0.05) for N*K = $0.00299$					
LSD (0.05	) for	$P^*K = 0.0$	001242				LSD (0.05) for $P^*K = 0.00299$						
LSD (0.05	) for	N*P*K =	0.002488				LSD (0.05) for N*P*K = $0.00598$						

Table 13. Effect of NPK fertilizer on Soil Exchangeable Acidity (Conc. mol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

К		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean		
(kg/ha)													
Ν	Р		2022 planting season						2023 planting season				
(kg/ha)	(kg/l	ha)											
0	0	2.293	2.524	2.732	2.775	2.580	2.376	2.605	3.410	3.616	3.001		
	15	2.978	2.978	3.600	3.609	3.393	3.624	3.634	3.637	3.646	3.635		
	30	3.614	3.624	3.627	3.636	3.625	3.652	3.660	3.666	4.066	3.761		
	45	3.646	3.649	4.060	4.061	3.854	4.069	4.073	4.079	4.079	4.075		
45	0	4.071	4.074	4.075	4.075	4.074	4.089	4.089	4.093	4.093	4.091		
	15	4.084	4.084	4.088	4.093	4.087	4.095	4.105	4.510	4.514	4.306		
	30	4.095	4.095	4.505	4.505	4.398	4.516	4.520	4.522	4.526	4.521		
	45	4.512	4.515	4.521	4.525	4.518	4.531	4.531	4.931	4.940	4.733		
90	0	4.526	4.926	4.926	4.935	4.826	4.946	5.347	5.347	5.356	5.247		
	15	5.338	5.339	5.344	5.349	5.342	5.362	5.366	5.373	5.778	5.470		
	30	5.349	5.353	5.762	5.768	5.558	5.781	5.789	5.794	5.806	5.792		
	45	5.771	5.776	5.786	5.786	5.780	5.806	6.218	6.224	6.231	6.118		
135	0	6.194	6.196	6.206	6.212	6.202	6.631	6.637	6.640	6.642	6.637		
	15	6.624	6.627	6.633	6.635	6.630	6.670	6.670	6.687	6.701	6.682		
	30	6.642	6.645	6.645	7.073	6.751	7.106	7.109	7.117	7.123	7.114		
	45	7.073	7.100	7.111	7.124	7.102	7.129	7.147	7.162	7.169	7.152		
Mean		3.363	4.273	5.377	6.671		3.618	4.420	5.657	6.896			
LSD (0.	05) for N	N = 0.007	502				LSD ((	0.05) for	N = 0.01	585			
LSD (0.	05) for F	P = 0.0075	502				LSD ((	0.05) for	P = 0.015	585			
LSD (0.	05) for k	<pre>&lt; = 0.007</pre>	502				LSD (0.05) for K = 0.01585						
LSD (0.	05) for N	$V^*P = 0.02$	1500				LSD (0.05) for $N^*P = 0.03169$						
LSD (0.	05) for N	$N^*K = 0.0$	1500				LSD (0.05) for $N^*K = 0.03169$						
LSD (0.	05) for F	P*K = 0.01	1500				LSD (0.05) for $P^*K = 0.03169$						
LSD (0.	05) for N	N*P*K = (	0.03004				LSD (0	0.05) for	N*P*K =	0.06346			

Table 14. Effect of NPK fertilizer on soil Total Exchangeable Bases (cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

K		0	20	40	60	Mea	0	20	40	60	Mea		
(kg/ha)						n					n		
Ν	Р		2022 pla	nting se	ason	2023 planting season							
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)	)											
0	0	4.973	3.884	4.092	4.155	3.924	5.216	4.045	4.850	5.096	4.425		
	15	4.378	4.835	5.040	5.049	4.823	5.104	5.114	5.137	5.166	5.130		
	30	5.094	5.104	5.107	5.156	5.115	5.172	5.180	5.206	5.606	5.291		
	45	5.166	5.166	5.580	5.601	5.378	5.629	5.633	5.679	5.679	5.655		
45	0	5.616	5.634	5.635	5.675	5.640	5.699	5.729	5.773	5.773	5.745		
	15	5.684	5.684	5.728	5.773	5.717	5.775	5.805	6.230	6.234	6.011		
	30	5.775	6.175	6.205	6.225	6.093	6.276	6.320	6.362	6.366	6.331		
	45	6.232	6.275	6.321	6.365	6.298	6.371	6.411	6.831	6.840	6.613		
90	0	6.366	6.766	6.806	6.835	6.691	6.371	7.267	7.307	7.316	7.187		
	15	7.238	7.259	7.264	7.309	7.267	7.362	7.426	7.433	7.878	7.524		
	30	7.309	7.353	7.822	7.828	7.578	7.881	7.889	7.894	8.006	7.917		
	45	7.871	7.876	7.886	7.886	7.880	8.006	8.518	8.524	8.631	8.417		
135	0	8.394	8.396	8.506	8.512	8.452	9.031	9.097	9.120	9.142	9.097		
	15	9.024	9.027	9.093	9.115	9.065	9.171	9.207	9.207	9.261	9.202		
	30	9.142	9.145	9.165	9.593	9.261	9.666	9.699	9.737	9.773	9.719		
	45	9.633	9.660	9.700	9.711	9.679	9.819	9.867	9.922	9.949	9.889		
Mean		6.656	6.789	6.873	6.924		6.971	7.102	7.201	7.295			
LSD (0.05	5) for N =	0.000800	)3				LSD (0.05) for N = 0.001245						
LSD (0.05	5) for P =	0.000800	3				LSD (0	.05) for P	= 0.00124	5			
LSD (0.05	5) for K =	0.000800	)3			LSD (0.05) for $K = 0.001245$							
LSD (0.05) for $N^*P = 0.001600$							LSD (0.05) for $N^*P = 0.002490$						
LSD (0.05) for N*K = $0.001600$								LSD (0.05) for N*K = 0.002490					
LSD (0.05	5) for P*K	x = 0.001	600				LSD (0	.05) for P	K = 0.002	2490			
LSD (0.05	5) for N*F	P*K = 0.0	03205				LSD (0.05) for N*P*K =0.004986						

Table 15. Effect of NPK fertilizer on Soil Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (cmol<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

K		0	20	40	60	Mean	0	20	40	60	Mean		
(kg/ha)													
Ν	Р	2	2022 plai	nting sea	son		2023 planting season						
(kg/ha)	(kg/ha	)											
0	0	46.18	64.98	64.98	66.79	65.67	45.55	64.40	70.31	70.96	67.40		
	15	68.02	70.22	71.43	71.48	70.28	71.00	71.06	70.80	70.58	70.86		
	30	70.95	71.00	71.02	70.52	70.87	70.61	70.67	70.42	72.53	71.06		
	45	70.58	70.59	72.76	72.50	71.61	72.29	72.31	71.83	71.83	72.06		
45	0	72.49	72.31	72.32	71.81	72.23	71.75	71.37	70.90	70.90	71.22		
	15	71.83	71.85	71.37	70.90	71.49	70.90	70.77	72.39	72.41	71.62		
	30	70.90	72.80	72.60	72.37	72.16	71.96	71.52	71.08	71.10	71.42		
	45	72.40	71.95	71.52	71.09	71.74	71.12	70.68	72.19	72.22	71.55		
90	0	71.10	72.80	72.38	72.20	72.11	72.04	73.58	73.18	73.21	72.99		
	15	73.75	73.55	73.57	73.97	73.71	72.83	72.26	72.29	73.34	72.68		
	30	73.18	72.80	73.66	73.68	73.33	73.35	73.38	73.40	72.52	73.16		
	45	73.32	73.34	73.37	73.37	73.35	73.50	73.00	73.02	72.19	72.94		
135	0	73.79	73.80	72.97	72.98	73.38	73.43	72.97	72.80	72.65	72.96		
	15	73.41	73.41	72.95	72.79	73.14	72.70	72.69	72.63	72.36	72.60		
	30	72.65	72.66	72.50	73.73	72.88	73.52	73.30	73.09	72.88	73.20		
	45	73.42	73.50	73.22	73.27	73.35	72.60	72.43	72.18	72.06	72.32		
Mean		71.62	71.97	72.15	72.09		70.35	71.46	72.94	72.77			
LSD (0.0	05) for I	N = 0.002	7502				LSD (	0.05) for	N = 0.01	585			
LSD (0.0	05) for I	P = 0.007	7502				LSD (	0.05) for	P = 0.015	585			
LSD (0.0	) ) for 1	K = 0.007	7502		LSD (0.05) for $K = 0.01585$								
LSD (0.0	) 05) for 1	N*P = 0.0	01500		LSD (0.05) for $N^*P = 0.03170$								
LSD (0.0	05) for 1	$N^*K = 0.$	01500		LSD (0.05) for N*K = $0.03170$								
LSD (0.0	05) for l	P*K = 0.0	)1500				LSD (0.05) for $P^*K = 0.4076$						
LSD (0.0	) 05) for 1	N*P*K =	0.03004				LSD (0.05) for N*P*K = $0.06346$						

Table 16. Effect of NPK fertilizer on Soil %Base Saturation in 2022 and 2023 planting seasons

### CONCLUSION

Based on increased growth and root yield, this study has shown that the application of NPK fertilizer improved the performance of orange-fleshed sweet potatoes. The growth and yield parameters of OFSP were significantly (P<0.05) higher than control in both the 2022 and 2023 planting seasons due to the different rates of NPK fertilizer applied. The findings demonstrated that all growth and yield parameters of orange-fleshed sweet potatoes were significantly (P<0.05) boosted by the different combined rates of N, P, and K fertilizers and improved the soil exchangeable properties such as Soil Exchangeable Acidity, Total Exchangeable Bases, Effective Cation Exchange Capacity, and % Base Saturation in comparison to the control. Additionally, the 2023 planting season had better results than the 2022 planting season. However, the application of NPK at the rate of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O recorded the highest number of OFSP leaves at 4 WATA (192.3 and 184.3), 8 WATA (292.3 and 315.0) and 12 WATA (374.3 and 388.0), number of branches at 4 WATA (11.333 and 14), 8 WATA (17.33 and 19) and 12 WATA (19 and 20.33), vine length at 4 WATA (128.7cm and 126.7cm), 8 WATA (319.3cm and 337.7cm) and 12 WATA (457.0cm and 444.7cm), weight of OFSP storage root (3.070kg/plot) and (3.5kg/plot) and total storage root yield (3.255t/ha) and (3.88t/ha) in 2022 and 2023 respectively. For orange-fleshed sweet potato production in the research region, NPK fertilizer treatment at a rate of 90kgN-45kgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-60kgK<sub>2</sub>O seems suitable for the highest yield and is thus advised.

# Acknowledgement

The authors want to thank Prof DO. Asawalam and Prof VE Osodeke for supervising this research.

# **Conflict of interest statement**

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

# Author's contribution

ISC executed the study, discussed the results and compiled the work and DOA and EO supervised the experiment and read the manuscript

# REFERENCES

AE-FUNAI., 2020. Alex Ekwueme Federal University Ndufu Alike (AE-FUNAI)., 2020. Alex Ekwueme Federal University Ndufu Alike Meteorology station, Abakaliki, Ebonyi state

Agbim NN., 2000. Potential of cassava peel consumed with poultry droppings as soil amendment materials. Environs Quality, 83:408-415

Agri-Farming., 2023 Sweet Potato Fertilizer Requirements and Recommendations: Management Practices. www.agrifarming.com

Ambecha, O.G., 2011. Root and Tuber crops. Teaching Material. JUCAVM. Jimma, Ethiopia.

Asa AA., Ezelobe CA, Ajisola JK., 2021. Growth and Yield Response of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas) to Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on Degraded Soil of Southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology Vol-6, Issue-5; 10 – 15. https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.65.2

Bekele D, Abera G, Gobena A., 2020. Effects of chemical fertilizer types and rates on tuber yield and quality of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) at Assosa, Western Ethiopia. African Journal of Plant Science, 14(4): 155-164. DOI: 10.5897/AJPS2019.1930

Bekunda MA, Bationo A, Ssali H., 1997. Soil fertility management in Africa: A review of selected research trials. Replenishing soil fertility in Africa, 51: 63-79.

Çalişkan ME, Söğüt T, Boydak E, Ertürk E, Arioğlu H., 2007. Growth, yield, and quality of sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam.) cultivars in the southeastern Anatolian and east Mediterranean regions of Turkey. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 31(4): 213-227.

CIP (International potato centre)., 2018 .Sweet potato facts: Production Utilisation, consumption, Feed use. International Potato Centre Annual Report 2018. www.cip.org

Duvernay WH, Chinn MS, Yencho GC., 2013. Hydrolysis and fermentation of sweetpotatoes for production of fermentable sugars and ethanol. Industrial Crops and Products, 42: 527-537.

El-Ghamry AM., 2011. Soil fertility and potato production as affected by conventional and organic farming systems. Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering, 2(2): 141-156.

FAOSTAT., 2021. Food agricultural organization ranking of world sweet potatoes production. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/

Federal Department of Agriculture and Land Resources., 1985. Soil Map of Nigeria project. Pp.63-148

Fernandes AM, Ribeiro NP., 2020. Mineral nutrition and fertilization of sweet potato. Científica, 48(4): 325-338. http://dx.doi.org/10.15361/1984-5529.2020v48n4p325-338 325.

GenStat., 2014. GenStat 17.1 Edition, Release PL25.1. Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothamsted Experiment Station, UK

Getachew GE., 2019. Growth, Yield and Nutritional Quality of Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam) Varieties as Influenced by Fertilizer type and Rates: A Review. Greener Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 6(1):15-24. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15580/GJSSPN.2019.1.062119114

Havlin JD, Beaton JD, Tisdal SL; Nelson WL., 2005. Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management. Upper Saddle River, Pearson Prentice Hall, 515.

Israel Z, Ali M, Solomon T., 2012. Effect of different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on yield and yield component of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) at Mashan, Southwestern Ethiopia. African Journal of Plant Science 3 (2): 016-024.

Low J, Lynam J, Lemaga B, Crissman C, Bakr I, Thiele G., 2009. Sweet potato in Subsaharan Africa. In the Sweet potato, Netherlands.Springer. Iroegbu et al., / J. Agric. Food, Environ. Anim. Sci. 6(1): 182-211, 2025

Marschner P., 2012. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press, London. p. 178–189.

Mclean EO., 1965. Soil pH and Lime Requirements. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2 (Chemical and Microbiological Properties). Soil Science Society of America, Madison, 199-224

National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI)., 2009. Evaluation of Selected Genotypes of Sweet Potato in Different Ecological Zones of Nigeria. Annual Report of the National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, pg 48.

Nedunchezhiyan M, Byju G, Dash SN., 2010. Effects of organic production of orange fleshed sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.) on root yield, quality and soil biological health. International Research Journal of Plant Science, 1(6): 136-143.

Neela S, Fanta SW., 2019. Review on the nutritional composition of orange-fleshed sweet potato and its role in the management of vitamin A deficiency. Food Sci Nutr. 7(6): 1920–1945. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.1063

Nmor EI, Okobia UB., 2017. Effect of Different Levels of N.P.K. 15:15:15 Fertilizer Application on the Yield of Sweet Potato (Ipomea Batatas) in South-South Nigeria. International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB), 2(5): 2336 -2339. http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/2.5.8

Odebode SO., 2004. Promotion of high-quality sweet potato flour in the food industry; evidence from rural women. University of Ibadan press, Ibadan Nigeria. Pp 2

Okpara DA, Okon OE., Ekeleme F., 2009. Optimizing Nitrogen Fertilization for Production of White and Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato in Southeast Nigeria, Journal of Plant Nutrition, 32:5: 878-891. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904160902790358

Okpara DA, Njoku JC, Asiegbu JE., 2004. Responses of two sweet potato varieties to four green manure sources and inorganic fertilizer in a humid tropical Ultisol. Biological Agriculture & Horticulture, 22(1): 81-90.

Olatunji O, Adeyongu SK., 2021. Response of Sweet Potato Variety TIS-8186 (Ipomea batata {L} Lam) to application of three Sources of Fertilizer in Otobi, Benue State, Southern Guinea Savanna Nigeria. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology, 6 (3): 145-155. https://doi.org/10.35410/IJAEB.2021.5635

Omenda JA, Ngetich KF, Kiboi MN, Mucheru-Muna MW, Mugendi DN., 2021. Phosphorus availability and exchangeable aluminum response to phospospate rock and organic inputs in the central Highlands of Kenya. Heliyon, 7(3): 112-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heligon.2021.e06371.

Onwumere WN., 2023 Effect of rice mill waste and NPK fertilizer on soil chemical properties, growth and yield of orange fleshed sweet potato (Ipomea batatas (L) Lam) at Ikwo. (B. Agric project). Faculty of Agriculture, Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu Alike, Ebonyi state, Nigeria.

Panpatte DG, Jhala YK., 2019. Soil fertility management for sustainable management. Springer Nature Singapore press Ltd, Singapore. Pp 17.

Phares Christian A, Amoakwah E, Danquah A, Akaba S, Kwame Agyei F, Mensah TA., 2022. Improved soil physicochemical, biological properties and net income following the application of inorganic NPK fertilizer and biochar for maize production. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 42 (4): 289-295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chnaes.2021.12.002

Rijal K., 2023. Effects of Different Fertilizers on the Yield and Yield Attributing Parameters of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea Batatas L.) in the Eastern Midhill of Nepal. Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research, 52(2): 43646-43650. DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2023.52.008239

Simiyu DW., 2018. Effect of NPK blended fertilizer application on soil physicochemical properties, growth, physiology and yield of two finger millet (Eleusine coracana Ll. Gaertn.) varieties grown in acidic soils of Kakamega Western Kenya. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Botany, Maseno University, Kenya

Singh JP, Raghav M., 2000. Response of potato to nitrogen and potassium fertilization under Tarai conditions. Journal of the Indian Potato Association 27:47-48.

Sowley ENK., Neindow M, Abubakari AH., 2015. Effect of poultry manure and NPK on yield and storability of orange-and white-fleshed sweet potato [Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam]. Journal of Food and Agriculture Science, 5(1):1-6. DOI: 10.5897/ISABB-JFAS2014.0005

Stathers T, Namanda S, Nwanga ROM, Khisa G, Kapinga R., 2005. Manual for sweet potato Integrated production and pest management- Farmer field schools in Sub-Saharan Africa. International Potato Centre, Kampala, Uganda, pp.

Summer ME Miller WP., 1996. Cation exchange capacity and exchange coefficients; In; methods of soil analysis part 3 (chemical methods). Soil Sci. Society of Am. Inc. Madison Wisconsin USA. pp 1201 – 1230.

Thomas IU, Ekwere OJ, Akpaninyang FE., 2023. Response Of Orange Fleshed Sweetpotato (Ipomoea Batatas (L)) Varieties To NPK Fertilizer In Obio Akpa, Akwa Ibom State. Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment. 19(1): 58-64.

Ubi W, Ubi MW, Akpanidiok AU., 2013. Effect of NPK Fertilizer and Interval Management on The Chemical Properities Of Coastal Plain Sands of Akpabuyo,

Nigeria. Global Journal of Agricultural Sciences 12: 73-79. http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/gjass.v12i1.10

Ukom AN, Ojimelukwe PC, Okpara DA., 2009. Nutrient composition of selected sweet potato [Ipomea batatas (L) Lam] varieties as influenced by different levels of nitrogen fertilizer application. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 8(11): 1791-1795.

Ukpabi UJ, Ekeledo EN, Ezigbo VU., 2012. Potential use of roots of orange-fleshed sweet potato genotypes in the production of  $\beta$ -Carotene rich chips in Nigeria. African Journal of Food Sci., 6(2):29-33.

Uwah DF, Undie UL, John NM., Ukoha GO., 2013. Growth and Yield Response of Improved Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam) Varieties to Different Rates of Potassium Fertilizer in Calabar, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science; 5(7):61. http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jas.v5n7p61

Zalalem T, Solomon T, Derbew B., 2023. Influence of Blended NPSB Fertilizer Rates on Growth of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam) Varieties in Bako District, West Shewa Zone. International Journal of Applied Agricultural Sciences 9(2): 40-47. doi: 10.11648/j.ijaas.20230902.12